

## Racist Israeli Tourism Ministry wipes "Palestine" off the Map with cultural genocide plan

by Saed Bannoura - IMEMC & Agencies  
Report this post to the editors

The Israeli Tourism (& Propaganda) Ministry is using an advertising campaign called "Experience Israel" in an attempt to encourage tourists to visit the country. But the ministry totally wiped out Palestine of the map by re-

moving any reference to the Palestinian territories.

The Palestine Solidarity Campaign (PSC) reported that the removal of the Palestinian Territories from a map used in the promotion of Israel as a tourist destination has been brought to the attention of the Advertising Standards Authority (ASA).

The PSC sent a strongly worded letter, and asked that the incorrect and misleading map be removed from their display locations on the London Underground.

The "Experience Israel" advertisement was

produced by Longwood Holidays, in coordination with ThinkIsrael.com and Israel's Tourism Ministry.

The PSC said should its complaint be approved, it would be the second time in less than two years that the ASA has issued a ruling against ThinkIsrael.com for producing false maps in its advertisements.

The illusive map shows the occupied West Bank, the Gaza Strip and the occupied Golan Heights as part of the State of Israel, although the afore mentioned areas are under occupa-

tion.

In this regard it is important to note that UN Resolution 242 calls on Israel to withdraw from the West Bank, the Gaza Strip and East Jerusalem, which are Palestinian territories.

**Yet, Israel chose to violate international legitimacy resolutions, and maintained its occupation of the Palestinian territories and the Syrian Golan Heights.**

The Israeli policy of wiping Palestine off the map is not something new; it has been going on for decades. In fact, all advertisements from Israel fail to mention the Palestinian territories. One of the main examples of this violation is referring to Bethlehem, the birth place of Jesus, as part of Israel.

## Diaspora Jews Finding Their Voice

**Henry Makow says: "Only 10% of Jews are Zionist, the rest are unaware"**

If diaspora Jews can find the courage to speak critically to Israel, a tipping point may finally be reached

**Antony Lerman / The Guardian**

Virtually anything can be said about Israel-Palestine, as Cif contributions and responses show only too clearly. Yet none of the words on any of the blogs hosted by any of the newspapers make a blind bit of difference to progress towards a just solution to the conflict. The power that derives from the barrel of a gun or the bombs of an F16 appears to be what does make a difference, although not to achieving peace. But at some point, if the conflict is ever unlocked on the basis of universal standards of justice, words will have played a central role. I don't mean in the form of an agreement that fudges fundamental differences, but as a tipping point, in the form of a truth, previously unsayable, that is finally told.

What seemed obvious in Washington, when Prime Minister Netanyahu met President Obama, was that Bibi is a long way from expressing any form of words that might lead to the tipping point. Fevered speculation in the weeks and days leading up to the meeting, as to how he would find some way of doing what the new administration wants and endorse the two-state solution, proved to be just that. Neither the words "independent Palestinian state" nor "two states for two peoples" passed his lips, at least not in public. He can return home the "gever", a macho hero, who stood up to the Americans.

It is, of course, grossly oversimplifying the issues to reduce matters to a few words not exchanged between Obama and Netanyahu. As if a huge celebratory peace bonfire had been constructed and all we're waiting for is Bibi to light the match. The disturbing truth is that, despite opinion polls indicating that a majority of Israelis would accept an independ-

ent Palestinian state alongside Israel, there are powerful forces stiffening Bibi's resolve so that he will have all the arguments he needs to dictate his solution: economic progress for the Palestinians leading to a situation at some indefinable moment when the Palestinians will be able to rule themselves – but not completely.

**According to Ofri Ilani in Ha'aretz, this is the role played by the Shalem Centre, an Israeli neoconservative thinktank, generously funded by American Jewish donors,** whose "fellows are now sitting in government offices, helping turn abstract research into concrete policy". Their approach on peace negotiations is summed up in the words of the man who is now the minister for strategic affairs, Shalem distinguished fellow Moshe Ya'alon, a former Israel Defence Forces chief of staff: "The diplomatic process can wait."

Helping that along will be Michael Oren, Shalem fellow just appointed ambassador to Washington, and Natan Sharansky (fraudulent Russian dissident), author of an arch-neoconservative 2004 book, *The Case for Democracy: The Power of Freedom to Overcome Tyranny and Terror*, warmly recommended by George W Bush. He is about to head the Jewish Agency, one of the arms of government that manages – or some would say manipulates – Israel's relations with the Jewish diaspora. These people are not looking for short-term impact policy changes. They have been developing their ideas in many thousands of words since the mid-1990s. And they are looking 50 years ahead, aiming to inculcate in government the "world view of the Shalem Centre... neoconservative, Zionist and based on Jewish culture."

While the Obama administration is busy undoing the harm done by years of neoconservative thinktank dominance in the USA, the

ascendancy of neoconservatives in Israel at just this moment could not signify a more fundamental clash of outlooks.

At one level, this will undoubtedly play itself out in a battle of words, one that will not leave diaspora Jewry untouched or uninvolved. The public positions adopted by the leaderships of diaspora communities around the world demonstrate solidarity with the state and government. **American Jewish support for the Shalem Centre and other rightwing intellectual, political and religious forces in Israel is indicative of the important role a certain activist element of diaspora Jews play in propping up an expansionist Israeli stance. And Netanyahu can still rely on the quiescence of the mass of diaspora Jews to be able to claim, as all Israeli governments have done, that Israel acts on behalf of all Jews.**

But you need hardly dig more than an inch or two to find deep disquiet and **confusion following the Gaza war and the appointment of the racist Avigdor Lieberman as foreign minister.** And some of that concern is being channelled into a form of lobbying that challenges Aipac, philanthropic activity supporting human rights organisations in Israel-Palestine and social activism based on Jewish universalism. These activities represent the growing strain of diaspora Jewish opinion desperate for a new way, which sees the damage being done to Israel and recognises the necessity of supporting Palestinian rights. Might this lead diaspora Jews to find a voice capable of speaking a previously unsayable truth?

A public meeting organised by the London Jewish Community Centre titled "Can we talk about Israel?" provides a clue. The discussion was about the limits of what Jews can say when they want to be critical of Israel. The two key voices on the panel, the *Guardian's*

Jonathan Freedland and Jacqueline Rose, professor of English Literature at Queen Mary, Univ. of London, **one of the founders of Independent Jewish Voices, demonstrated remarkable unanimity on what Freedland said he dreamed diaspora Jews would one day say to Israel.** What prompted his dream was the Northern Ireland peace process, which he witnessed from a key vantage point as *Guardian* correspondent in Washington during the 1990s – at the same time as Israeli and Palestinian representatives were tramping backwards and forwards through the city busy with their own attempts at reconciliation. He said the key change which broke the deadlock was the pro-Republican, Irish-American community telling Gerry Adams that they had had enough of the terror and the murder. If it continued Sinn Fein-IRA could no longer rely on Irish-American support. That was the point at which the republican strategy changed to embrace the path of peace and led to the Good Friday Agreement.

Freedland's dream, predicated on the fact that Israel is heavily dependent on the support of diaspora Jewry to legitimise its actions, was that **diaspora Jews would finally turn round to the Israeli government and say "Enough is enough. The occupation must end. The Palestinians must have their independent state.** If not, however much we are with you, we can no longer support you." Jacqueline Rose agreed, adding that central to this there had to be a full recognition of the injustice suffered by the Palestinians in 1948. And Freedland accepted this too.

If the tipping point comes and leads to a just peace, perhaps it will be triggered by a form of these true words spoken to Israel by an overwhelming tide of assertive diaspora Jewish opinion.

## Brit Zionists (the Rothschild empire) repeat their previous Commie practices in Russia by incarcerating critics in mental institutions!

### Government Critics to be Sent to Mental Asylums?

Elementals Alternative News Blog

Mr X, who had called Jacqui Smith a communist, was stunned to be told that his doctor (GP) had received a letter from the highly secretive Fixated Threat Assessment Centre following instructions from the Home Secretary herself.

**The UK government has established a secretive new police unit a la George Orwell with the powers to detain anyone for any length of time without any due process.**

The shadowy unit called the Fixated Threat Assessment Centre (FTAC) was covertly established in 2006. The unit includes the services of police psychiatrists. Why? For one very good reason, and one reason only: **psychiatrists operate above the law. They can detain anyone at any time and for no more reason than their stated opinion that the person may be a danger to themselves or to others.**

Once forcibly detained by a psychiatrist a person can be legally locked away forever and subjected to despicable "treatments" such as psychotropic drug regimes, lobotomies and electric shocking of the brain. They are not entitled to a trial of any sort, they need face no criminal charges.

A person incarcerated by a psychiatrist has no rights whatsoever. Even Stalin had to produce his prisoners in court eventually. The miserable occupants of Guantanamo retain the certainty that one day they will face justice, or at least that they will have their day in court; the occupants of psychiatric prisons have no such comfort.

It is a thin line that separates a rule of law democracy from a totalitarian dictatorship. The FTAC crosses that line. For many years our individual freedoms have been incrementally cut away. The FTAC rips the flesh off freedom and lays bare the bones of repression for all decent and honest people to see. The FTAC represents nothing less than the repeal of Habeas Corpus with its right of trial and its protection from arbitrary state detention.

A Writ of Habeas Corpus orders that a prisoner is to be brought before a court so that the court can then determine whether that person is serving a lawful sentence or should be released from custody. The prisoner, or someone acting on behalf of the prisoner if he/she is being held incommunicado can petition the court or an individual judge for a Writ of Habeas Corpus.

The justification for the extreme powers of FRAC is of course terrorism. Experience shows that the powers of the FTAC will be

quickly exercised in a far wider sphere than even the most skeptical imagine. Once a law is enacted the very fact of its existence gives it respectability and thus acceptability.

For years society casually turned a blind eye to the total lack of Human Rights for anyone labeled "mentally ill", never dreaming that the definition might one day be widened sufficiently to cover not only themselves, but everyone who may for any reason be deemed a threat by those in authority.

In the 21st century mental illness is presumed to be the normal circumstance and sanity deemed to exist only after "treatment" by a psychiatrist.

We live in a nightmare world that is starting to exceed the imaginings of even George Orwell.

Fixated Threat Assessment Centre (FTAC) tasked to intimidate critics of Jacqui Smith?

The UKColumn was shocked to learn that a member of the public, who wrote letters and emails calling the Home Secretary a communist and criticising her for creating a police state, has been summoned for an interview with his GP. The individual, who wishes to remain anonymous, informed the Column that he was recently surprised to receive a call from his GP asking him to attend the surgery. Once in front of his doctor, Mr X was

stunned to be told that the GP had received a letter from the highly secretive **Fixated Threat Assessment Centre (FTAC)** following instructions from the Home Secretary herself. Although embarrassed, the doctor understood from the communication that he was required to interview Mr X to establish his "state of mind".

The implications of this incident are extremely serious, as they suggest that anyone who dares to criticise the Home Secretary, or perhaps even the government itself, will be regarded as mentally ill. Clearly for Mr X, Smith's actions were intended to be a warning and the first step in attempting to brand him mentally ill

**It is understood that Zionists in New York, bankers Schiff and Warburg sent Trotsky (Bronstein) and Vladimir Lenin to Russia to overthrow the newly elected Kerensky government following abdication of the Russia's Czar. They supplied them with approximately \$90 million in today's money to instigate the violent overthrow of that newly established parliamentary body. Bankers Warburg and Schiff simultaneously sent \$20 million to Asia in another bid to win economic control in that region in search of world control.**

### Video of Rabbi Ahron Cohen

University of London: A part of a lecture at the SOAS, University of London, given by Rabbi Ahron Cohen, spokesman for Neturei Karta UK, **Jews United Against Zionism, against the Zionist atrocities in Gaza "Zionists do not represent the Jewish People"**

<http://nkusa.org/activities/Demonstrations/20090419-Cohen.cfm>