

Most of Toronto's financial writers con you! We've warned investors for years about the financial frauds in actual global progress!!

Wall Street Shell Game: "The Greatest Boondoggle in History": Banks Buoyed at Taxpayers' Expense To Give Fake Bank "Growth"!

by Aaron Task in Newsmakers, Recession, Banking

Bank stocks soared, including Wells Fargo and Morgan Stanley, which sold shares at discounts of more than 10% below Thursday's close.

The ability of banks to raise capital is certainly positive but the idea of shares rallying amid the capital raising and dilution is "counterintuitive," Bank of America CEO Ken Lewis said on CNBC this morning.

BofA shares were also rallying even as the government said it needs to raise an industry-leading \$33.9 billion. Citigroup stock was also a big winner after the government's curious declaration that it "only" needs to raise \$5 billion.

While much of the focus is on the stress tests and banks' efforts to raise cash, the real story is Geithner's Public-Private Investment Program (PPIP), says William Black, an Associate Professor of Economics and Law at the

Univ. of Missouri - Kansas City.

The PPIP is the "greatest boondoggle in the history of the world," says Black, a former bank regulator who was counsel to the Federal Home Loan Bank Board during the S&L crisis. As occurred during the S&L era, Black says the PPIP will allow banks to exchange "trash for cash" and turn "real losses into faulty gains."

If the goal of Tim Geithner and other regulators was "to rip off the American

taxpayer for the benefit of the least-deserving wealthiest people you can imagine, well - mission accomplished," Black says. A hidden bailout. \$599 billion losses but only \$75 billion needed? The taxpayers are secretly robbed to make up the difference which Congress won't reveal. So, were the banks over-capitalized by hundreds of billions? That doesn't make sense.

Goldman Sachs: We supply gov. bank workers; they supply us with money!!

AIG received 143 billion so that their banker Goldman Sachs would not fail!

<http://www.goldmansachs666.com/>

NY Fed Chair Friedman Resigns, Effective Immediately

Remember kids, "Goldman Sucks and you swallow."

Via MarketWatch: The Federal Reserve Bank of New York said that Stephen Friedman, chairman of the New York Fed, resigned effective immediately. The *Wall Street Journal* reported that Friedman had come under scrutiny because of his large holdings of

Goldman Sachs Group at the time that firm received a quick approval of federal funds.

Of course and not surprisingly, Goldman came out clean in government stress tests. My question now is when are we going to kick things up a notch and start a full-blown investigation into the Goldman/NY Fed connection?

Goldman Sach's CEO Paulson who quit with a \$30 million dollar retirement parachute to work as Bush's Treasury Secretary — appointed his buddy at Goldman to be head of

AIG. Then gave AIG \$134 billions to save Goldman Sachs' investment in AIG.

Bank of Canada CEO is Carny (Circus Carny?) fresh from Goldman Sachs.

Bank of America chairman was told his whole board of directors including himself would be fired if they did not accept billions in bail-out money when they attempted to reject a planned merger with Merrill Lynch. J.P. Morgan/Chase was taking over other failing banks with 'Fed' billions which were too obvious if it was the only bank involved. Bank of America is understood to be Vatican con-

nected as opposed to Israel. So, Bernanke and Geithner ordered Ken Lewis, B of A CEO to take the money to merge as a cover story for the Wall Street/Fed conspiracy to appear legitimate.

Only 3 banks benefit from these fraudulently controlled 'Fed' billions: JP Morgan/Chase, Citibank, Goldman Sachs. The only real news here is Bank of America now joins these favoured banks — still at the expense of the U.S. taxpayer.

Toronto's financial writers suppress these facts.

Rothschild connection to the Bernie Madoff Ponzi Fraud

<http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=newsarchive&sid=ary8E2O6BDhA>

The Madoff brokerage, which is being marketed to potential bidders, had earnings of just \$1.12 million last year from its market-making and proprietary trading businesses, according to documents drawn up by investment bank Lazard Ltd.

'No Value' "The brand has no value because no one in his right mind would want to open a brokerage named Madoff," Tabb said. "Every

day the business isn't sold the value goes down and down."

As for Madoff's money management business, "it is highly unlikely there will be anything of consequence to sell," said Daniel Seivert, chief executive officer of ECHELON Partners, an investment bank for money management firms in Manhattan Beach, California.

"Investment management firms primarily sell off of the recurring fee revenue they gen-

erate and the track record of the investment professionals managing the money," Seivert said. "Given the gravity of the surrounding events, it is highly unlikely that any of the assets will stay with the organization and the track record of the investment professionals is likely unverifiable."

Trading Operation In addition, Madoff ran a trading operation in London that invested his family's money, Madoff Securities International Ltd. The firm, being liquidated by the

accounting firm Grant Thornton LLP, received more than \$250 million in Madoff investors' money for trading and for the family's personal use, according to court filings.

The SIPC's Harbeck said yesterday he didn't know if any of the money funneled to (Rothschild's) London was included in Picard's tally of \$946 million in liquid assets. Picard's spokesman Kevin McCue didn't answer an e-mail seeking comment. A Grant Thornton spokesman, Simon Rothschild, declined to comment.

Be Healthy Or Rot!!

Researched by Victor The Health Guru

The Movement Against Child Drugging presents: THE CONVENIENT DIAGNOSIS

More than 17 million schoolchildren around the world are given stimulants and anti-depressants to treat supposed medical conditions, such as ADD, ADHD, and Asperger's Syndrome. Some of these drugs, such as Ritalin and Dexedrine, are essentially the equivalent of street drugs, and have horrific effects on the body.

It often starts with the child's teacher filling out a survey, such as the Conner's Test, which is used to diagnose ADD and ADHD. Based on the answers, the parents are often pressured by the school administration and psychologist to put their child on medications. The reason schools put pressure on parents to put their kids on psychotropic drugs is often to benefit the school, not the child, as funding for a 'special needs' child is considerably higher than a child that is not considered 'special needs'. As well, school boards have 'Itinerant Behaviour Teams', which have a Special Education Teacher that visits classrooms and school yards to monitor students for abnormal behaviour.

These psychotropic drugs are prescribed by psychiatrists that often call themselves 'specialists'.

ONE THING THESE 'SPECIALISTS' DON'T WANT THE PUBLIC TO BE AWARE OF IS THAT THESE DRUGS ARE IN FACT A LEADING CAUSE OF SCHOOL SHOOTINGS!

Perhaps you've always wondered...what are

ADD and ADHD? Even with today's advanced medical technology, the answer is simple; ADD and ADHD are simply a big marketing scam! There

are absolutely NO laboratory tests available to diagnose ADD or ADHD, nor is there any valid scientific proof it even exists! In fact, as we will show you, even these so-called 'specialists' know nothing about it! What these 'specialists' don't want the public to know is that these so-called 'disorders' are often caused by other problems, such as diabetes, allergies, or even other conditions that cannot be detected or are completely ignored by psychiatrists, let alone the majority of modern medical practitioners, however, we don't doubt that there are a limited number of children that are in need of help.

At this event, you'll hear from victims, watch a collection of documentaries, including 'Prescription: Suicide?', and learn about alternative, natural methods for diagnosing and treating these supposed disorders without the use of drugs.

Founded in 2006, The Movement Against Child Drugging is a group of victims and parents committed to supporting victims of psychiatric druggings, exposing the truth, and educating the public.

WEDNESDAY MAY 13, 2009 at 9pm ALL SEATS \$8

BLOOR CINEMA, 506 Bloor St. West (at Bathurst)

Contact: stopchilddrugging@gmail.com

Beware, exorbitant cell phone use may cause brain tumor

Written by www.daily.pk

Though the cell phone phobia is taking over the youth and over 91.4 million Pakistanis use cell phones, experts are of the opinion that the cell phones emit Radiofrequency (RF) energy which may increase the risk of cancer for cell phone users.

To date, many of the studies have focused on whether RF energy can cause malignant or benign brain tumors. The experts opine that the cell phone users are exposed to the radiofrequency (RF) energy, a non-ionizing form of radiation, emitted by the cell phones and due to the widespread use of this technology, there is understandable concern about the health implications of cell phone use.

"The animal experiments investigating the effects of Radiofrequency energy (RF) exposures characteristic of wireless/cell phones have suggested that low levels of RF could accelerate the development of cancer in laboratory animals. However, many of the studies that showed increased tumor development used animals that had been genetically engineered or treated with cancer-causing chemicals so as to be pre-disposed to develop cancer in the presence of RF exposure," said Dr. Masood Ahmad, an expert, while talking.

Finally, the agencies note difficulties in measuring actual RF exposure, including which model of phone is used and the angle of the cell phone during the use.

The North American Brain Tumor Coalition (NABTC) has for a number of years carefully monitored the research related to the potential adverse effects associated with cell phone use.

The NABTC urges these precautions in absence of definitive studies regarding the risks of cell phones. The coalition urges the most expeditious possible completion of research studies, including one sponsored by the World Health Organization (WHO), to provide consumers better information about the risks created by their use of cell phones.

In the absence of conclusive studies, it is recommended that individuals take simple precautions to limit their cell phone use and that they impose restrictions on the use of cell phones by children.

The WHO published a fact sheet on cell phones in the Year 2000, which stated, "Given the immense numbers of mobile phones, even small adverse effects on health could have

major public health implications."

A recently published meta-analysis by an Australian neurosurgeon has also intensified concerns about the risks of cell phone use and created great interest in the completion of ongoing studies.

Although public health authorities are not in agreement regarding the issuance of public health advisories about cell phones in light of the inconclusive data regarding their risks, most governments have issued precautionary warnings regarding cell phone use.

In 2000 the UK urged cell phone use among children be limited and undertook a leafletting campaign to distribute information about limiting use by children.

In 2006, the Canadian government urged adults to moderate their cell phone use and the cell phone use of their children until the science regarding the risks of cell phones is clear.



"If you must conduct extended conversations by wireless phone every day, you could place more distance between your body and the source of the RF, since the exposure level drops off dramatically with distance. For example, you could use a headset and carry the wireless phone away from your body or use a wireless phone connected to a remote antenna," advised Dr. Masood.

In a July 2008 memo to the University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute faculty and staff, institute director Ronald Herberman, M.D. offered "Practical Advice to Limit Exposure to Electromagnetic Radiation Emitted from Cell Phones." Herberman said that release of the ten "prudent and simple precautions" to reduce exposure was based on the recommendations of an international panel of experts convened by the University of Pittsburgh.

Those experts stated that, "electromagnetic fields emitted by cell phones should be considered a potential human health risk."